

Performance Measures 2001



Performance Measures - 2001

- After consultation with various professional groups, the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing revised the reporting structure for 2001.
- To make 2001 and 2000 performance indicators comparable, certain measures in 2000 were re-stated to conform to the 2001 reporting requirement.
- Reporting for general government changed in 2001 using the Ontario Municipal Benchmarking Initiative (OMBI), as a result, the efficiency measure for 2000 is not available.

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

General Government

- **2001** **3.6% of total municipal operating costs**
- **2000** **N/A**

Objective

- *Efficiency in general government supporting local services.*

Explanation

- *The cost of general government (based on governance & political support and corporate management and support) as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

Fire Services

- **2001** \$1.95 per \$1,000 assessment
- **2000** \$2.06 per \$1,000 assessment

Objective

- *Efficient municipal fire services.*

Explanation

- *The operating costs per thousand dollars of assessment for fire services includes firefighters, fire halls, emergency responses, equipment and administration.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

Roadways-Paved Roads

- **2001** \$1,998.77 per kilometer
- **2000** \$1,967.73 per kilometer

Objective

- *Efficient road maintenance services.*

Explanation

- *Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads such as asphalt surface, concrete surface, composite pavement, portland cement or surface treatment and shoulder and surface maintenance per paved lane kilometer.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

Roadways-Winter Control

- **2001** \$1,186.77 per kilometer
- **2000** \$1,420.98 per kilometer

Objective

- *Efficiency in winter road maintenance services.*

Explanation

- *The operating costs per lane kilometer associated with winter road maintenance (e.g. snow ploughing, salting, sanding, snow removal).*
- *2001 costs of winter control reduced due to less snowfall experienced in 2001*

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

Wastewater collection

- **2001** \$9,107.54 per kilometer
- **2000** \$9,270.00 per kilometer

Objective

- *Efficiency in collection of wastewater.*

Explanation

- *Operating costs for collection of wastewater per kilometer of wastewater main.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Efficiency

Distribution of Drinking Quality Water

- **2001** \$6,521.28 per kilometer
- **2000** \$7,112.68 per kilometer

Objective

- *Efficiency in distribution of water.*

Explanation

- *Operating costs for the distribution of quality water per kilometer of distribution pipe.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Roadways-Adequacy of roads

- **2001** **85.54% of paved lane kilometer rated as good**
- **2000** **84.59% of paved lane kilometer rated as good**

Objective

- *Paved lane kilometer rated as good.*

Explanation

- *Provide a paved road system that has a pavement condition that meets municipal objectives.*
- *A road rated as good to very good is a road whose surface distress is minimal and no maintenance or rehabilitation action is required.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Roadways- Effective Snow and Ice Control for Winter Roads

- **2001** 100% of responses met or exceeded municipal standards
- **2000** 100% of responses met or exceeded municipal standards

Objective

- *To provide an appropriate winter storm event response to ensure that any inconvenience and disruption in transportation caused by the storm is minimized.*

Explanation

- *Percentage of winter event responses that met or exceeded municipal road maintenance standards. Municipal standards are defined as performing winter control activities as soon as possible after the start of a snowstorm.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Wastewater Main Backups

- **2001** **2.93 backups per 100 kilometers**
- **2000** **2.99 backups per 100 kilometers**

Objective

- *Prevention of human and environmental health hazards.*

Explanation

- *Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometers of wastewater main in a year.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Breaks in Water Mains

- **2001** 8.95 breaks per 100 kilometers
- **2000** 12.84 breaks per 100 kilometers

Objective

- *To minimize the loss of treated water.*

Explanation

- *The number of breaks or leaks to water mains per 100 kilometers of water main pipe in a year that required repair.*
- *Frost penetration in the ground has a significant bearing on water main breaks. In 2001 milder winter temperatures caused less frost penetration than in 2000.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Location of New Development

- **2001** 100% of new lots located within settlement area
- **2000** 100% of new lots located within settlement area

Objective

- *Measure percentage of new lots which are located within settlement areas.*

Explanation

- *Percentage of new lots, blocks and units with final approval which are located within settlement areas.*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Preservation of Agricultural Land in Reporting Year

- **2001** 100% of agricultural land preserved in reporting period
- **2000** 100% of agricultural land preserved in reporting period

Objective

- *To protect prime agricultural land for future use.*

Explanation

- *Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which are preserved during the reporting year*

Performance Measures – 2001

Effectiveness

Preservation of Agricultural Land Relative to Base Year

- **2001** 100% of agricultural land preserved from base year
- **2000** 100% of agricultural land preserved from base year

Objective

- *To protect prime agricultural land for future use.*

Explanation

- *Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was preserved relative to the base year of 2000.*